

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2949 of 1986

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

( No.1 to 5 NO)

-----  
LALIT EMPLOYEES' UNION

Versus

LALIT STAINLESS STILL (INDIA) LTD.  
-----

Appearance:

MR JIVANLAL G SHAH for Petitioner

M/S TRIVEDI & GUPTA for Respondent No. 1  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE

Date of decision: 28/08/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

I have heard Ld. counsel Mr. H.J. Shah for the petitioner and Ld. counsel Mr. Y.H. Vyas appearing for Ld. counsel M/s. Trivedi & Gupta for the respondent.

Upon hearing Ld. counsels aforementioned, and the perusal of the prayer claimed in the petition, it appears that the present petition requires to be disposed

of as having become infructuous. I order accordingly.  
Petition stands disposed of. Rule shall stand  
discharged. Interim releif shall stand vacated. No  
costs.

Any how, upon a plea coming from Ld. counsel Mr.  
Shah for the petitioner, I would say that the liberty is  
reserved for the petitioner to come out with a fresh  
petition, if the circumstances so demand in future.

-----

/vgn.